STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)		BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		
(Caption of Case))	OF SOUTH CAROLINA	
dPi Telecor	nnect, L.L.C.)	COVER SI	неет
BellSouth dba AT&T	Felecommunications, Inc. South Carolina BellSouth's failure to ext promotions to dPi	end)	DOCKET NUMBER: 2008 -	160 <u>C</u>
Address:	Foster Malish & Cowan, 1403 West Sixth St. Austin TX 78703	, LLP	SC Bar Number: n/a Felephone: 512-476-85 Fax: 512-477-86 Other: cmalish@fostermalish or supplements the filing and service of south Carolina for the	a.com
as required to be filled out	oy law. This form is required completely.	KETING INFORMAT	minosion of german	
— 041				
Other		NATUR	E OF ACTION (Check all tha	at apply)
Electric Cas Railroad Sewer Telecon Transport Water Water/S	TRY (Check one) /Gas /Telecommunications /Water /Water/Telecom. /Water/Sewer d mmunications ortation	NATURI Affidavit Agreement Answer Appellate Review Application Brief Certificate Comments Complaint Consent Order Discovery Exhibit Expedited Consideration Interconnection Agreement Interconnection Amendment Late-Filed Exhibit	Letter Memorandum Motion Objection Petition Petition for Reconsideration Petition for Rulemaking Petition for Rule to Show Cause Petition to Intervene Petition to Intervene Out of Time Prefiled Testimony Promotion Proposed Order Protest	Request Request for Certification Request for Investigation Resale Agreement Resale Amendment Reservation Letter Response Response Stipulation Stipulation Subpoena Tariff Other:

FOSTER MALISH & COWAN, L.L.P.

Jennifer L. Washington, CP Paralegal ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW
A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP
1403 WEST SIXTH STREET
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78703
(512) 476-8591
FAX: (512) 477-8657
www.fostermalish.com

WRITER'S EMAIL: jennifer@fostermalish.com

July 18, 2008



VIA UPS NEXT DAY AIR

The Honorable Charles L.A. Terreni Chief Clerk South Carolina Public Service Commission 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, South Carolina 29210

RE: dPi Teleconnect, L.L.C. v. BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

dba AT&T South Carolina, regarding BellSouth's failure to extend

Cash Back promotions to dPi **Docket No. 2008-160-C**

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Please find enclosed the original and one copy of dPi Teleconnect's Direct Testimony of Brian Bolinger for filing.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Jennifer L. Washington, CP

Paralegal

cc: Patrick W. Turner, Esquire (via electronic mail service)

C. Lessie Hammonds, Esquire (via electronic mail service)

John J. Pringle, Jr. (via electronic mail service)

Mr. Brian Bolinger (via electronic mail service)

Enclosures

1 2 3	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	OF SOUTH CAROLINA dPi TELECONNECT, LLC,
14 15	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF BRIAN BOLINGER
16 17	Please tell us who you are and give a little background about yourself.
18 19	My name is Brian Bolinger. I am dPi's vice president of legal and regulatory affairs. I am
20	the one who has taken the lead in dealing with this dispute over promotion credits with BellSouth
21	since its inception, along with Steve Watson of Lost Key Telecom Inc., which functions as dPi's
22	billing and collections agent for promotions.
23 24 25	Please give a little background on dPi Teleconnect and describe the history of dPi Teleconnect's dispute with BellSouth.
26 27	dPi Teleconnect is a competitive facilities-based telecommunications company authorized
28	to provide intrastate local exchange and interexchange telecommunications services in South
29	Carolina. dPi provides telecommunications services to residential and business customers. This
30	case involves only dPi Teleconnect's resale operations and relationship with BellSouth.
31	BellSouth is required by law and by contract to make available for resale any promotion that
32	BellSouth makes available to its customers for an extended period of time.
33	Among other things, the parties' contract provides in relevant part the following:

1 2	a.	That the parties wish to interconnect "pursuant to Sections 251 and 252 of the Act" GTC p.1;
		•
3 4 5	b.	Parity: "When DPI purchases Telecommunications Services from BellSouth pursuant to this Agreement for the purposes of resale to End Users, such services shall be be subject to the same conditions that BellSouth provides to itsEnd Users."
6 7		GTC p. 3
8 9 10 11	c.	Governing Law: " this agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with federal and state substantive telecommunications law, including rules and regulations of the FCC" GTC p. 15.
12 13 14 15 16	d.	Resale Attachment's General Provision sections 3.1: p. 4: "Subject to effective and applicable FCC and Commission rules and orders, <i>BellSouth shall make available to DPI for resale those telecommunications services BellSouth makes availableto customers</i> who are not telecommunications carriers."
17		
18	Feder	ral law provides, among other things, the following:
19 20 21 22	e.	47 U.S.C. § 251(c)(4)(A). ILECs have the duty to "offer for resale at wholesale rates any telecommunications service that the carrier provides at retail to subscribers who are not telecommunications carriers."
23 24 25 26	f.	47 U.S.C. § 251(c)(4)(B). ILECS have a duty not to "prohibit, and not to impose unreasonable or discriminatory conditions or limitations on, the resale of such telecommunications service."
27 28 29 30	g.	47 C.F.R. § 51.613(a)(2). "The following types of restrictions on resale may be imposed: Short term promotions. An incumbent LEC shall apply the wholesale discount to the ordinary rate for a retail service rather than a special promotional rate
31 32 33		only if: (i) Such promotions involve rates that will be in effect for no more than 90 days; and
34 35 36 37		(ii) The incumbent LEC does not use such promotional offerings to evade the wholesale rate obligation, for example by making available a sequential series of 90-day promotional rates."
38 39	This	s case arises because of BellSouth's refusal to extend its promotional pricing to dPi. The
40	parties' disp	pute arises under their interconnection agreement and centers on credits which are due
41	from BellSe	outh to dPi Teleconnect as a result of dPi Teleconnect's reselling of services subject to

BellSouth promotional discounts. BellSouth has over the past months and years sold its retail services at a discount to its end users under various promotions that have lasted for more than 90 days. dPi Teleconnect is entitled to purchase and resell those same services at the promotional rate, less the wholesale discount. As a practical matter, dPi Teleconnect has bought these services at the regular retail rate less the resale discount, then been credited the difference between that rate and the promotional rate pursuant to "promotion credit requests."

What promotions are involved in this case?

Of concern in this particular case, BellSouth has provided a number of "cash back" promotions to its retail customers going back to late 2003.

What is the effect of these promotions?

BellSouth's retail customers qualifying for these promotions get cash (or cash equivalent) back from BellSouth in the stated amount. Essentially, these are rebates. Obviously, the practical effect of these promotions is to reduce the effective retail rate qualifying customers pay for telephone service. The size of the promotions is so large that the end result is that the net amount BellSouth's retail customers qualifying for the promotions pay for service is far less than the wholesale amount.

What happened when dPi applied for these promotion credits?

Although dPi met the same qualifications as BellSouth's retail end users, and applied for these promotional credits, it has to this point not been notified one way or the other that BellSouth

The three promotions involved through July 2007 are designated by BellSouth as Cash Back \$100 Two Features; Cash Back \$100 Discount Complete Choice \$100; and Cash Back \$50 2 Pack Plan (PAMA6)- CBP6

would pay the credits requested for the periods ending June 8, 2007. BellSouth has, however, paid the credits requested for service rendered after June 2007. The timing appears to coincide with the 4th Circuit's decision in *BellSouth Telecommunications Inc. v. Sanford et al.*, 494 F3d 439 (C.A. 4 – N.C., 2007), in which the 4th Circuit upheld the North Carolina Commission's decision that promotions that tend to reduce the retail price paid by retail customers must be made available to CLECs.

Although BellSouth has failed to either deny or accept dPi's promotional credit requests despite multiple inquiries by dPi, at this point it seems unlikely that BellSouth will make the promotion payments unless compelled to do so by the judiciary or the state commissions, making the filing of this case necessary. I escalated and attempted to resolve this issue with BellSouth's Pam Tipton, but according to her, the BellSouth/AT&T legal department has instructed her that they do not owe any cash back promotions prior to the date of the appellate court's ruling. Obviously that is not accurate and I cannot imagine any attorney actually providing that advice. I tried to explain the senselessness of that line of thinking and the response I received was "that is just what I am being told."

How much money in promotions is at stake?

Here in South Carolina, dPi qualified and applied for, but was not paid, approximately \$85,350 in cash back promotions. A spreadsheet itemizing the amounts in question is attached as dPi's Exhibit 1. Through October 2007, dPi qualified and applied for, but has not yet been paid:

\$36,100 related to the "Cash Back \$100 Complete Choice" promotion offer;

\$7,400 related to the "Cash Back \$100 1FR with Two Paying Features" promotion offer; and \$41,850 related to the "Cash Back \$50 1FR with Two Paying Features" promotion offer.

Across the 9 state BellSouth region, the total figure that dPi qualified and applied for, but was not paid, in cash back promotion credits was approximately \$499,600.

Has BellSouth paid any requests for cash back promotions?

Yes. BellSouth has admitted dPi is entitled to these kinds of promotional credits on these telecommunications services dPi has purchased from BellSouth by paying these credits from July 2007 forward. However, BellSouth has neither formally accepted nor denied dPi's claims for identical credits for earlier periods; this, for all practical purposes, must now be treated as a denial or refusal to pay these credits to which dPi is entitled. dPi accordingly requests that this Commission enter an order directing BellSouth to pay the credits together with interest at the contract rate.

\$85,350.00	Total			Total SC Cashbacks	\$ 85,350.00			
\$ 36,100.00	Total CB	\$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100	803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437	CB-SC-803-20040808 CB-SC-803-20041208 CB-SC-803-20050208 CB-SC-803-20050408 CB-SC-803-2005050608 CB-SC-803-20050608 CB-SC-803-20050608 CB-SC-803-20050708 CB-SC-803-20070408 CB-SC-803-20070408 CB-SC-803-20070408 CB-SC-803-20070408 CB-SC-803-20070408 CB-SC-803-20070408	\$ 100.00 \$ 200.00 \$ 100.00 \$ 100.00 \$ 100.00 \$ 100.00 \$ 100.00 \$ 100.00 \$ 7,100.00 \$ 7,100.00 \$ 9,600.00	08/08/2004 12/08/2004 02/08/2005 03/08/2005 04/08/2005 05/08/2005 06/08/2005 07/08/2005 08/08/2005 04/08/2005 04/08/2007 05/08/2007	DPI Teleconnect	BellSouth
\$41,850.00	Total C3	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437	C3-SC-803-20050408 C3-SC-803-20060108 C3-SC-803-20060208 C3-SC-803-20060408 C3-SC-803-20060708 C3-SC-803-20060708 C3-SC-803-20060808 C3-SC-803-20061008 C3-SC-803-20061108 C3-SC-803-20061208	350.00 150.00 350.00 450.00 300.00 100.00 200.00 1,250.00 3,350.00 8,850.00 12,100.00	04/08/2005 \$ 01/08/2006 \$ 02/08/2006 \$ 03/08/2006 \$ 04/08/2006 \$ 05/08/2006 \$ 07/08/2006 \$ 09/08/2006 \$ 11/08/2006 \$ 11/08/2006 \$	DPI Teleconnect	BellSouth BellSouth BellSouth BellSouth BellSouth BellSouth BellSouth BellSouth BellSouth
\$ 7,400.00	Total C2	CB Offer \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100	Q Account 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437 803Q888437	Description C2-SC-803-20031108 C2-SC-803-20031208 C2-SC-803-20040108 C2-SC-803-20050108 C2-SC-803-20050208 C2-SC-803-20050308 C2-SC-803-20050308 C2-SC-803-20050408	Amount Submitted \$ 1,500.00 \$ 1,700.00 \$ 1,400.00 \$ 300.00 \$ 900.00 \$ 900.00 \$ 500.00	Bill Date An 11/08/2003 \$ 12/08/2003 \$ 01/08/2004 \$ 01/08/2005 \$ 02/08/2005 \$ 03/08/2005 \$ 04/08/2005 \$	Company DPI Teleconnect	BellSouth BellSouth BellSouth BellSouth BellSouth BellSouth BellSouth

CB = \$100 Cash Back Offer
C2 = \$100 1FR + 2 Cash Back Offer
C3 = \$50 1FR + 2 Cash Back Offer
CB-P6 = \$50 to \$25 Bellsouth Competitive Acquisition 2 Pack Bundle

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA DOCKET NO. 2008-160-C

In the matter of:)	
)	
dPi Teleconnect, L.L.C. v.)	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.)	
dba AT&T South Carolina	Ú	

I hereby certify that I have this the 18th day of July, 2008, served a true and correct copy of the foregoing to the following via electronic mail:

J. Phillip Carver, Sr. AttorneyAT&T Southeast675 West Peachtree Street, Suite 4300Atlanta, Georgia 30375

Via Electronic Mail: pc0755@att.com

Patrick W. Turner, Gen. Counsel - SC BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. dba AT&T South Carolina 1600 Williams Street, Suite 5200 Columbia, South Carolina 29201 Via Electronic Mail: pt1285@att.com

C. Lessie Hammonds, Esquire Office of Regulatory Staff Legal Department PO Box 11263 Columbia, South Carolina 29211 Via Electronic Mail: lhammons@regstaff.sc.gov

Chris Malish

2008 JUL 21 MHIO: 17